

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Status Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1436 Alexandra, Vagina 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

The appropriate of the second second

APPLICATION NO FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/622,082 09/22/2000 Juha S. Kinnunen 990,1234 7345

21831 7590 07/16/2003

STEINBERG & RASKIN, P.C. 1140 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS. 15th FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-5803

EXAMINER
LOPEZ, CARLOS N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1731

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|---|
| | • | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/622,082 Examiner | KINNUNEN ET AL. |
| | | | Art Unit |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Carlos Lopez | 1731 |
| Period f | or Reply | on the server sincet mini the t | coponacios addicas |
| THE - External after of the control | MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period our to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiry within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 3tatus 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/19 | 3/03 | |
| 2a)□ | | is action is non-final. | |
| 2a)□ 3)□ | , | | recognition as to the media is |
| , – | closed in accordance with the practice under | | |
| · | tion of Claims Claim(s) 8-13 and 16-19 is/are pending in the | application | |
| +)[△] | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | • • | |
| 5)[| | | |
| , | ☑ Claim(s) <u>8-13 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | |
| | ion Papers | o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o | |
| 9)[] | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11)[| The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro | oved by the Examiner. |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | ply to this Office action. | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex- | aminer. | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicati | on No |
| * (| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the certification. | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | · · |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| а | a) \square The translation of the foreign language pro | visional application has been rec | eived. |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 | and/or 121. |
| Attachmen | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | A) [] | (/DTO 440) Dec. 14 (1) |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | æ of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/19/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1) Claims 8-13, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egelhof et al (US 6,159,341) in view of Huovila et al (US 6,270,624) and in further view of Turner et al (EP 0465698). Egelhof discloses a method of making a multiply paper comprising of two web former units wherein headbox (8) provides a first base ply and multi-layer headbox (26) provides a second ply (Figure 1 and Col. 5, lines 30ff). Egelhof is silent disclosing the stock feed system of the headbox. However, Houvila discloses stock feed system for a headbox having a flow of fresh stock being divided into three component flows (5a-5c) wherein an admixture is supplied to the

component flow that would make up the outer layer/face before pump (19a1) (Col. 1, lines 55ff and figure 1). Houvila's headbox provides for a control of the desired chemicals and fillers to be added to a web and obviates additional storage facilities due to its single fresh stock feed system (See Bridging paragraph of col. 3-4). In view of Turner which teaches that the face of a second ply has a high amount of pulp stock fines in order to effect a greater ply-bonding affinity with a first base ply, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have inserted Houvila's multilayer headbox with Egelhof's web forming method in order to provide a better feed control of admixtures that would be placed at the face of the second ply assuring bonding with the base ply and optionally reduce storage facilities as taught by Houvila. Additionally as for the claimed web speed limitation, the claimed speed of the web are current operational speeds that would be expected from Egelhof forming unit.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-13 and 16-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

References A-B in PTO-892 have been cited to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is (703) 605-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am - 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,082

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

C.L July 11, 2003